

Student: Brian Sweeney

Course: APM 503

Program: Mathematics MA

Instructor: Horst Thieme

Date: Fall 2019

APM 503 Project: Proofs Involving Inner Product Spaces  
Brian Sweeney

**A.1.1.** (An inner product is uniquely determined by the norm) Let  $X$  be a vector space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and associated norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .

(a) Show that  $\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathbb{O} \in X$ , let  $u, v \in X$ . Since  $\| \cdot \|$  on  $X$  is induced by an inner product,  $\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle$  for  $u \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } & \frac{1}{2}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle u + v, u + v \rangle - \langle u - v, u - v \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle - \langle u, u \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, v \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2\langle v, u \rangle + 2\langle u, v \rangle) \\ &= \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)$ .

(b) Show that in a real inner product space  $\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathbb{O} \in X$ , let  $u, v \in X$ . Since  $\| \cdot \|$  on  $X$  is induced by an inner product,  $\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle$  for  $u \in X$ . From the above proof (part (a)), we know that  $\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is a real inner product space,  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$ , so

$$\frac{1}{2}(\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle u, v \rangle + \langle u, v \rangle) = \langle u, v \rangle$$

Thus,  $\frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2) = \langle u, v \rangle$ .

(c) Show that, if  $X$  is a complex inner product space,

$$\langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle = \frac{i}{2}(\|u + iv\|^2 - \|u - iv\|^2)$$

and

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2 + i\|u + iv\|^2 - i\|u - iv\|^2).$$

**Proof.** Since  $\mathbb{O} \in X$ , let  $u, v \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } & \frac{i}{2}(\|u + iv\|^2 - \|u - iv\|^2) \\ &= \frac{i}{2}(\langle u + iv, u + iv \rangle - \langle u - iv, u - iv \rangle) \\ &= \frac{i}{2}(\langle u, u \rangle + \langle iv, u \rangle + \langle u, iv \rangle + \langle iv, iv \rangle - \langle u, u \rangle + \langle iv, u \rangle + \langle u, iv \rangle - \langle iv, iv \rangle) \\ &= \frac{i}{2}(2\langle iv, u \rangle + 2\langle u, iv \rangle) \\ &= i\langle iv, u \rangle + i\langle u, iv \rangle \\ &= i^2\langle v, u \rangle + \langle iu, iv \rangle \\ &= \langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle = \frac{i}{2}(\|u + iv\|^2 - \|u - iv\|^2)$ .

Since  $\mathbb{O} \in X$ , let  $u, v \in X$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{4}(\|u+v\|^2 - \|u-v\|^2 + i\|u+iv\|^2 - i\|u-iv\|^2) = \frac{1}{4}(\|u+v\|^2 - \|u-v\|^2) + \frac{i}{4}(\|u+iv\|^2 - i\|u-iv\|^2)$$

. Using the result from (a), that  $\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2)$ , and the result above, that  $\langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle = \frac{i}{2}(\|u + iv\|^2 - \|u - iv\|^2)$ , we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2) + \frac{i}{4}(\|u + iv\|^2 - i\|u - iv\|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, u \rangle) + \frac{1}{2}(\langle u, v \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle) \\ &= \langle u, v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\frac{1}{4}(\|u + v\|^2 - \|u - v\|^2 + i\|u + iv\|^2 - i\|u - iv\|^2) = \langle u, v \rangle$ .

**A.1.2.** A real  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$  is called symmetric if  $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

(a) Show that a real  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is symmetric if and only if  $x \cdot (Ay) = (Ax) \cdot y$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.**

( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose that a real  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is symmetric. So,  $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $x = (x_i)$  and  $y = (y_j)$ , with  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then,  $Ay = (b_i)$ , where  $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}y_j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (Ay) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} y_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i \alpha_{ij} y_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can change the order of the summations and factor out  $y_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (Ay) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i \alpha_{ij} y_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_{ij} y_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_{ij} &= \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_{ji} \\ &= y \cdot (Ax) \\ &= (Ax) \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  being a real inner product space. Since  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  were arbitrary,  $x \cdot (Ay) = (Ax) \cdot y$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $x \cdot (Ay) = (Ax) \cdot y$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ , and let  $x = (x_i)$

and  $y = (y_i)$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then,  $Ay = (b_i)$  where  $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}y_j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (Ay) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i b_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i \alpha_{ij} y_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_i y_j. \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $Ax = (c_i)$ , where  $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}x_j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax) \cdot y &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j y_i. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the indices on this second inner product to match  $x \cdot (Ay)$ , gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax) \cdot y &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j y_i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ji} x_i y_j. \end{aligned}$$

So, since  $x \cdot (Ay) = (Ax) \cdot y$ , we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_i y_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ji} x_i y_j \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $a, b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and pick  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , such that  $x_a = 1$  and  $x_i = 0$  for  $i \neq a$ , and  $y_b = 1$  and  $y_j = 0$  for  $j \neq b$ . Then, this equality gives us that  $\alpha_{ab} = \alpha_{ba}$ . Since (1) holds for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\alpha_{ab} = \alpha_{ba}$  holds for all  $a, b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  by picking  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in a similar manner. Thus,  $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , so  $A$  is symmetric.

(b) A symmetric matrix  $A$  is called positive definite if  $x \cdot (Ax) > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Show: A function  $\langle, \rangle$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if there exists a positive definite symmetric matrix  $A$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot (Ay)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.**

( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\langle, \rangle$  from  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Then,  $x = x_1e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$  and  $y = y_1e_1 + \dots + y_n e_n = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i e_i$ , where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By the distributive law, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j e_j \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_i e_i, y_j e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i y_j \langle e_i, e_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Pick  $A$  to be a matrix such that  $\alpha_{ij} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then,  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i y_j \alpha_{ij} = x \cdot (Ay)$ . Now, we must show that  $A$  is symmetric and positive definite.

By the symmetry of the inner product,  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ , so  $x \cdot (Ay) = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle = y \cdot (Ax)$ . By part (a),  $A$  is then a symmetric matrix. By the positivity of  $\langle, \rangle$ , we have that  $\langle u, u \rangle > 0$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \neq \mathbb{O}$ , so  $A$  is positive definite. Therefore,  $A$  is a positive definite matrix that satisfies  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot (Ay)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Suppose there exists a positive definite matrix  $A$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot (Ay)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(i) Since  $A$  is symmetric,  $x \cdot (Ay) = (Ax) \cdot y$  by part (a). Also, since the Euclidean inner product is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\cdot$  is symmetric so  $(Ax) \cdot y = y \cdot (Ax)$ . Thus,  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot (Ay) = y \cdot (Ax) = \langle y, x \rangle$ , so  $\langle, \rangle$  is symmetric.

(ii) Since the Euclidean inner product is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(\alpha x) \cdot (Ay) = \alpha[x \cdot (Ay)]$ . So,  $\langle \alpha x, y \rangle = (\alpha x) \cdot (Ay) = \alpha[x \cdot (Ay)] = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$ , so the associate law holds.

(iii) Again, since  $\cdot$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\langle x + y, z \rangle = (x + y) \cdot (Az) = [x \cdot (Az)] + [y \cdot (Az)] = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ . Thus the distributive law holds for  $\langle, \rangle$ .

(iv) Since  $A$  is a positive definite matrix,  $u \cdot (Au) > 0$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \neq \mathbb{O}$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \neq \mathbb{O}$ . Then,  $\langle x, x \rangle = x \cdot (Ax) > 0$ , so  $\langle, \rangle$  is positive definite. Thus,  $\langle, \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**A.1.3.** Let  $A$  be a positive definite symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix and  $\cdot$  denote the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show:  $|x \cdot (Ay)|^2 \leq [x \cdot (Ax)][y \cdot (Ay)]$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with equality holding if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent.

**Proof.**

Let  $A$  be a positive definite symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix. To prove this inequality, we will first show that  $\langle, \rangle$  defined by  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot (Ay)$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(i) We have that  $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot (Ay) = y \cdot (Ax) = \langle y, x \rangle$  from the result of A.1.2(a) and the commutativity of the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}$ . So,  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ .

(ii) We can use the fact that  $\cdot$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to rewrite  $\langle \alpha x, y \rangle$  as follows:  $\langle \alpha x, y \rangle = (\alpha x) \cdot (Ay) = \alpha[x \cdot (Ay)] = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$ . So,  $\langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle$ .

(iii) Consider  $\langle x + y, z \rangle = (x + y) \cdot (Az)$ . Again, since  $\cdot$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y) \cdot (Az) &= [x \cdot (Az)] + [y \cdot (Az)] \\ &= \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ .

(iv) Let  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n, w \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $A$  is positive definite,  $\langle w, w \rangle = w \cdot (Aw) > 0$  by definition. Thus,  $\langle w, w \rangle > 0$  for  $w \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

Therefore, we have that  $\langle, \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality (Theorem A.2), we have that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 &\leq \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle \text{ or equivalently,} \\ |x \cdot (Ay)|^2 &\leq [x \cdot (Ax)][y \cdot (Ay)], \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly dependent.

**A.1.4.** Consider  $\ell^2 = \{x = (x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}; \|x\|_2 < \infty\}$  where

$$\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2$$

Show:

(a) For each  $x = (x_n)$  and  $y = (y_n)$  in  $\ell^2$ , the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{y}_k =: \langle x, y \rangle$$

converges in  $\mathbb{C}$  (with absolute value) and defines an inner product on  $\ell^2$ .

**Proof.**

We first show that  $\ell^2$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $x, y \in \ell^2$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

(i) Since  $\|x\|_2 < \infty$ , there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2} < c$ , so  $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < c^2$ . So, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^m |x_n|^2 < c^2$ . Then, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha x_n|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^m |\alpha|^2 |x_n|^2 \\ &= |\alpha|^2 \sum_{n=1}^m |x_n|^2 \\ &< |\alpha|^2 c^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha x_n|^2 < |\alpha|^2 c^2$ , so  $\|\alpha x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha x_n|^2} < \sqrt{|\alpha|^2 c^2} < \infty$ , meaning that  $\alpha x \in \ell^2$ .

(ii) Since  $\|x\|_2 < \infty$  and  $\|y\|_2 < \infty$ , there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c, d > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2} < c$  and  $\|y\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n|^2} < d$ , so  $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < c^2$  and  $\|y\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n|^2 < d^2$ . Then, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^m |x_n|^2 < c^2$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^m |y_n|^2 < d^2$ . So, for all



$m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^m |x_n + y_n|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^m |x_n + y_n| |x_n + y_n| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^m |x_n| (|x_n + y_n|) + |y_n| (|x_n + y_n|) \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^m |x_n| (|x_n| + |y_n|) + |y_n| (|x_n| + |y_n|) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^m |x_n|^2 + 2|x_n| |y_n| + |y_n|^2 \\
&< c^2 + 2cd + d^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n + y_n|^2 < c^2 + 2cd + d^2$ , so  $\|x + y\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n + y_n|^2} < \sqrt{c^2 + 2cd + d^2} < \infty$ , meaning that  $x + y \in \ell^2$ . Thus,  $\ell^2$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Now we must show that the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{y}_k =: \langle x, y \rangle$$

converges in  $\mathbb{C}$  for each  $x = (x_n)$  and  $y = (y_n)$ . Let  $x, y \in \ell^2$ , so  $x = (x_n)$  and  $y = (y_n)$  since  $x, y$  are sequences. Also,  $\|x\|_2 < \infty$  and  $\|y\|_2 < \infty$  since  $x, y \in \ell^2$ . So, there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c, d > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2} < c$  and  $\|y\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n|^2} < d$ , and by extension,  $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < c^2$  and  $\|y\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n|^2 < d^2$ . So, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^m |x_n|^2 < c^2$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^m |y_n|^2 < d^2$ . Then, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{k=1}^m x_k \bar{y}_k \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m |x_k \bar{y}_k| \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m |x_k| |\bar{y}_k| \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m |x_k| |y_k|.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we can bound  $\sum_{k=1}^m |x_k| |y_k|$  by looking at the relation between  $|x_k|$  and  $|y_k|$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . If  $|x_k| \leq |y_k|$  for a given  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , then,  $|x_k| |y_k| \leq |y_k|^2$ . If  $|x_k| > |y_k|$  for a given  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , then,  $|x_k| |y_k| < |x_k|^2$ . So, combining these two, we get that  $\sum_{k=1}^m |x_k| |y_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m (|x_k|^2 + |y_k|^2) < c^2 + d^2$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $|\sum_{k=1}^m x_k \bar{y}_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m |x_k \bar{y}_k| \leq c^2 + d^2$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, the partial sums  $|\sum_{k=1}^m x_k \bar{y}_k|$ , which is a non-negative series, is bounded for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{y}_k| < c^2 + d^2$ , meaning that

$|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{y}_k|$  converges in  $\mathbb{C}$  with the absolute value by Theorem 2.38. Now, we must prove that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defines an inner product on the vector space  $\ell^2$ .

(i) Let  $x, y \in \ell^2$ . Note that  $\langle y, x \rangle$  exists since  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , so  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k \bar{x}_k$  converges. Then, we can apply the complex conjugation over the sum as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} &= \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k \bar{x}_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{y}_k x_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{y}_k \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$ .

(ii) Let  $x, y \in \ell^2$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{y}_k =: \langle x, y \rangle$  converges, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \langle x, y \rangle &= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{y}_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha x_k \bar{y}_k \\ &= \langle \alpha x, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the associative law holds.

(iii) Let  $x, y, z \in \ell^2$ . Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{z}_k =: \langle x, z \rangle$  converges and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k \bar{z}_k =: \langle y, z \rangle$  converges, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{z}_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k \bar{z}_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k + y_k) \bar{z}_k \\ &= \langle x + y, z \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the distributive law holds for  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

(iv) Let  $x \in \ell^2$  such that  $x = (x_n)$  is not the zero sequence. Then,  $\langle x, x \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{x}_k$  since this series converges in  $\mathbb{C}$  with the absolute value. Since  $x = (x_n)$  is not the zero sequence, there exists some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_j \neq 0$ . So,  $\langle x, x \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \bar{x}_k \geq x_j \bar{x}_j > 0$ . Thus,  $\langle, \rangle$  is positive definite. Therefore, the series defines an inner product on  $\ell^2$ .

(b)  $\ell^2$  with this inner product is a Hilbert space.

**Proof.**

Let  $(x_n(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\ell^2$ , with  $k$  being the index for the sequence  $x_n$  (So,  $x_n$  is a sequence in  $\ell^2$  and for a fixed  $n$ ,  $(x_n(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2$ ). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq N$ ,  $\|x_n - x_m\|_2 < \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ , so  $\|x_n - x_m\|_2^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . We also have that  $\|x_n - x_m\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k) - x_m(k)|^2$ , so  $\epsilon > \|x_n - x_m\|_2^2 \geq |x_n(k) - x_m(k)|^2$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n, m \geq N$ . This implies that  $(x_n)$  is a uniform Cauchy sequence on  $\mathbb{N}$ . By Remark 2.21,  $(x_n(k))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}$  with the absolute value is a complete metric space, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $z(k) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $x_n(k) \rightarrow z(k)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consider the sequence  $z = (z(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence, there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq M$ ,  $\|x_n - x_m\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . So,  $\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^j |x_n(k) - x_m(k)|^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k) - x_m(k)|^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Since  $x_n(k) \rightarrow z(k)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some  $m_{kj} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_n(k) - z(k)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{j}}$  for all  $n \geq m_{kj}$ . So,  $\sum_{k=1}^j |x_n(k) - z(k)|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}$ , and  $\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^j |x_n(k) - z(k)|^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \geq m_{kj}$ . Let  $m \geq \max\{m_{kj}, M\}$ . Then for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^j |x_n(k) - z(k)|^2} &= \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^j |x_n(k) - x_m(k) + x_m(k) - z(k)|^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^j |x_n(k) - x_m(k)|^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^j |x_m(k) - z(k)|^2} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k) - z(k)|^2} < \epsilon$ , meaning that  $\|x_n - z\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k) - z(k)|^2} < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq M$ . Thus, since  $M$  does not depend on  $k$ ,  $(x_n)$  converges uniformly to  $z$ .

Now, we must show that  $z \in \ell^2$ . Consider  $\sum_{k=1}^m |z(k)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m |\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(k)|^2$ . Since  $(x_n(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\ell^2$ ,  $(x_n(k))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $\ell^2$ . So, there exists  $c > 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|x_n\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k)|^2} < c$ . Then,  $\|x_n\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_n(k)|^2 < c^2$ . So, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^m |x_n(k)|^2 < c^2$ . Then, by the definition of limit, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^m |z(k)|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^m |\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(k)|^2 \leq c^2$ . Since this holds for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , by

Theorem 2.38, we have that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z(k)|^2$  converges. So,  $\|z\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z(k)|^2 < \infty$ , meaning that  $\|z\|_2 < \infty$ . Thus,  $z \in \ell^2$ . Therefore,  $x_n \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $z \in \ell^2$ , so  $\ell^2$  is a Hilbert space.

**A.1.5.** Let  $X$  be an inner product space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $(x_n), (y_n)$  be Cauchy sequences in  $X$ . Show: The sequence  $(\langle x_n, y_n \rangle)$  converges in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(x_n), (y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences in  $X$ , there exists  $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$  and  $\|y_n - y_m\| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq M$ . So, we have that  $\|x_n - x_m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|y_n - y_m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle &= \langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_n \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle \\ &= \langle x_n - x_m, y_n \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

So,  $|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle| = |\langle x_n - x_m, y_n \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle|$ . By the triangle inequality and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle| &= |\langle x_n - x_m, y_n \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle x_n - x_m, y_n \rangle| + |\langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_m\| \|y_n\| + \|x_m\| \|y_n - y_m\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|x_n - x_m\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|y_n - y_m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\|x_n - x_m\| \|y_n\| + \|x_m\| \|y_n - y_m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $(\langle x_n, y_n \rangle)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Since  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}$  with the absolute value is a complete space. Thus, since  $(\langle x_n, y_n \rangle)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $(\langle x_n, y_n \rangle)$  converges in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**A.1.6.** Let  $X$  be an inner product space and  $x, y$  be points in  $X$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ , and  $(x_n), (y_n)$  be sequences in  $X$  and  $(\alpha_n)$  a sequence in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Show: If  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$  and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\langle \alpha_n x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha x, y \rangle$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.**

Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow y$ , and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , each component of  $x_n$ ,  $x_n^j e_j$ , where  $e_j$  is the  $j$ th canonical basis vector, converges to the same component in  $x$ ,  $x^j e_j$ . So, for each  $j$ ,  $x_n^j \rightarrow x^j$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the limit properties of  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha_n x_n^j \rightarrow \alpha x^j$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $j$ . So,  $\alpha_n x_n \rightarrow \alpha x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $\|\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\|y\|}$ . Since  $\alpha_n x_n \rightarrow \alpha x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\alpha_n x_n$  is bounded for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|\alpha_n x_n\| \leq c$ , for some  $c > 0$ . Since  $y_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq M$ ,  $\|y_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2c}$ . Pick  $L = \max\{M, N\}$ . Then, for  $n \geq L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle \alpha_n x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle \alpha x, y \rangle| \\
&= |\langle \alpha_n x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle \alpha_n x_n, y \rangle + \langle \alpha_n x_n, y \rangle| - \langle \alpha x, y \rangle| \\
&= |\langle \alpha_n x_n, y_n - y \rangle + \langle \alpha_n x_n - \alpha x, y \rangle| \\
&\leq \|\alpha_n x_n\| \|y_n - y\| + \|\alpha_n x_n - \alpha x\| \|y\| \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}) \\
&< \|\alpha_n x_n\| \frac{\epsilon}{2c} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\|y\|} \|y\| \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
&= \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\langle \alpha_n x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha x, y \rangle$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**A.1.7.** Let  $X$  be an inner product space,  $x \in X$  and  $(x_n)$  a sequence in  $X$ . Show:  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  and  $\langle x_n, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.**

( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . By the reverse triangle inequality,  $\epsilon > \|x_n - x\| \geq | \|x_n\| - \|x\| |$  for all  $n \geq N$ . So,  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|}$  for all  $n \geq M$ . Then,  $|\langle x_n, x \rangle - \langle x, x \rangle| = |\langle x_n - x, x \rangle| \leq \|x_n - x\| \|x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{\|x\|} \|x\| = \epsilon$  by Cauchy-Schwarz. Thus,  $\langle x_n, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as well.

( $\leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  and  $\langle x_n, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,  $\langle x_n, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \|x_n - x\|^2 &= \langle x_n - x, x_n - x \rangle \\ &= \langle x_n, x_n \rangle - \langle x_n, x \rangle - \langle x, x_n \rangle + \langle x, x \rangle \\ &= \|x_n\|^2 - \langle x_n, x \rangle - \langle x, x_n \rangle + \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the above equation,

$$\|x_n\|^2 - \langle x_n, x \rangle - \langle x, x_n \rangle + \|x\|^2 \rightarrow \|x\|^2 - \|x\|^2 - \|x\|^2 + \|x\|^2 = 0.$$

So, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\|x_n - x\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , meaning that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**A.1.8.** Let  $X$  be an inner product space. Let  $y \in X$  be fixed but arbitrary. Define  $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle, \quad g(x) = \langle y, x \rangle, \quad x \in X$$

Then  $f$  and  $g$  are Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant  $\|y\|$ .

**Proof.**

Let  $x, z \in X$ . Let  $d$  be the metric induced by the norm on  $X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } |f(x) - f(z)| &= |\langle x, y \rangle - \langle z, y \rangle| \\ &= |\langle x - z, y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|x - z\| \|y\| \\ &= d(x, z) \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Thus,  $|f(x) - f(z)| \leq \|y\| d(x, z)$ , so  $f$  is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant  $\|y\|$ .

To show  $g$  is Lipschitz continuous, again let  $x, z \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } |g(x) - g(z)| &= |\langle y, x \rangle - \langle y, z \rangle| \\ &= |\overline{\langle x, y \rangle} - \overline{\langle z, y \rangle}| \\ &= |\overline{\langle x, y \rangle - \langle z, y \rangle}| \end{aligned}$$

from the distributive law and properties of the complex conjugate.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } |\overline{\langle x, y \rangle - \langle z, y \rangle}| &= |\overline{\langle x - z, y \rangle}| \\ &= |\langle y, x - z \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

from the distributive law and the properties of an inner product.

Finally,  $|\langle y, x - z \rangle| \leq \|y\| \|x - z\| = \|y\| d(x, z)$  by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Thus,  $|g(x) - g(z)| \leq \|y\| d(x, z)$ . So,  $g$  is Lipschitz continuous with  $\|y\|$  a Lipschitz constant.



**A.1.9.** Let  $M$  be a complete linear subspace of the inner product space  $X$ .

Show: Each vector  $u \in X$  has a unique representation  $u = v + w$  such that  $v \in M$  and  $\langle w, z \rangle = 0$  for all  $z \in M$ . (The vector  $v \in M$  is called the orthogonal projection of  $u$  on  $M$ )

**Proof.**

By Remark 1.10, linear subspaces of a vector space are convex, so  $M$  is convex. Let  $u \in X$ . Then, by Proposition A.9, for each vector  $u \in X$ , there exists a unique  $v \in M$  such that  $d(u, M) = \|u - v\|$ . Let  $w = u - v$ . Now, we must show that  $\langle w, z \rangle = 0$  for all  $z \in M$ . Let  $z \in M$  with  $\|z\| = 1$  by normalizing  $u, v$ , and  $w$  to  $z$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  and consider  $\phi(\alpha) = \|w - \alpha z\|^2$ . Then,  $\|w - \alpha z\|^2 = \|u - v - \alpha z\|^2 = \|u - (v + \alpha z)\|^2$ . Since  $v, z \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $v + \alpha z \in M$  by definition of a linear subspace. Since  $v \in M$  is the unique vector in  $M$  such that  $\|u - v\| = d(u, M) = \inf_{y \in M} \{\|u - y\|; y \in M\}$ ,  $\|u - (v + \alpha z)\| \geq \|u - v\|$ . So, the minimum of  $\phi(\alpha) = \|w - \alpha z\|^2 = \|u - (v + \alpha z)\|^2$  occurs at  $\alpha = 0$  since  $\|u - (v + \alpha z)\|^2 \geq \|u - v\|^2$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ . Therefore,  $\|w - \alpha z\| \geq \|w\|$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } \|w - \alpha z\|^2 &= \langle w - \alpha z, w - \alpha z \rangle \\ &= \langle w, w \rangle - \langle \alpha z, w \rangle - \langle w, \alpha z \rangle + \langle \alpha z, \alpha z \rangle \\ &= \|w\|^2 - \alpha \langle z, w \rangle - \bar{\alpha} \langle w, z \rangle + |\alpha|^2 \|z\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $\alpha = \langle z, w \rangle \in \mathbb{K}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } \phi(\langle z, w \rangle) &= \|w - \langle z, w \rangle z\|^2 \\ &= \|w\|^2 - |\langle z, w \rangle|^2 - |\langle w, z \rangle|^2 + |\langle z, w \rangle|^2 \|z\|^2 \\ &= \|w\|^2 - |\langle w, z \rangle|^2 - |\langle w, z \rangle|^2 + |\langle z, w \rangle|^2 \|z\|^2 \\ &= \|w\|^2 - |\langle w, z \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

However, since  $\phi(\alpha) = \|w - \alpha z\|^2$  has a minimum of  $\|w\|^2$  at  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\phi(\langle z, w \rangle) \geq \|w\|^2$ . Therefore,  $|\langle w, z \rangle| = 0$ , meaning  $\langle w, z \rangle = 0$ . Since  $z \in M$  was arbitrary,  $\langle w, z \rangle = 0$  for all  $z \in M$ .

To show uniqueness, suppose there exists  $v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2$  such that  $u = v_1 + w_1 = v_2 + w_2$  with  $v_i \in M$  and  $\langle w_i, z \rangle = 0$  for all  $z \in M$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\langle w_i, z \rangle = 0$  for all  $z \in M$  and  $v_1, v_2 \in M$ , we have that  $0 = \langle w_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle w_2, v_1 \rangle = \langle w_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle w_2, v_2 \rangle$ . Since  $w_1 = u - v_1$  and  $w_2 = u - v_2$ , these equations give the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle w_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle u - v_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle u, v_1 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle \\ 0 &= \langle w_2, v_1 \rangle = \langle u - v_2, v_1 \rangle = \langle u, v_1 \rangle - \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle \\ 0 &= \langle w_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle u - v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle u, v_2 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \\ 0 &= \langle w_2, v_2 \rangle = \langle u - v_2, v_2 \rangle = \langle u, v_2 \rangle - \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, these equations give us that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u, v_1 \rangle &= \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle \\ \langle u, v_1 \rangle &= \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle \\ \langle u, v_2 \rangle &= \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \text{ and,} \\ \langle u, v_2 \rangle &= \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

From the above four equations, the first two equations give that  $\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle$ , or equivalently,  $\langle v_1 - v_2, v_1 \rangle = 0$ . The second two equations give that  $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle$ , or equivalently,  $\langle v_1 - v_2, v_2 \rangle = 0$ . So,  $\langle v_1 - v_2, v_1 - v_2 \rangle = 0$ . Thus, from the positivity of an inner product,  $v_1 - v_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , i.e.  $v_1 = v_2$ .

Since  $w_1 = u - v_1$  and  $w_2 = u - v_2$ , we can also rewrite the equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \langle w_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle w_1, u - w_1 \rangle = \langle w_1, u \rangle - \langle w_1, w_1 \rangle \\ 0 &= \langle w_2, v_1 \rangle = \langle w_2, u - w_1 \rangle = \langle w_2, u \rangle - \langle w_2, w_1 \rangle \\ 0 &= \langle w_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle w_1, u - w_2 \rangle = \langle w_1, u \rangle - \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \\ 0 &= \langle w_2, v_2 \rangle = \langle w_2, u - w_2 \rangle = \langle w_2, u \rangle - \langle w_2, w_2 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Once again, combining the first two equations (of the four above) yields that  $\langle w_1, w_1 \rangle - \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle = \langle w_1, w_1 - w_2 \rangle = 0$ . Combining the second two equations yields that  $\langle w_2, w_1 \rangle - \langle w_2, w_2 \rangle = \langle w_2, w_1 - w_2 \rangle = 0$ . So,  $\langle w_1 - w_2, w_1 - w_2 \rangle = 0$ . Thus, from the positivity of an inner product,  $w_1 - w_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , i.e.  $w_1 = w_2$ . Therefore,  $v_1 = v_2$  and  $w_1 = w_2$ , so the representation of  $u$  is unique.