

10683. Proposed by Harry Tamvakis, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be a sequence of nonzero real numbers, exactly p of which are positive. Characterize the pairs (n, p) such that exactly half of the possible products $a_i a_j a_k$ with $i < j < k$ are positive.

Solution by Christopher Carl Heckman, Georgia Institute of Technology: **RECONSTRUCTED** This isn't too difficult. Define the numbers x_i by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } a_i > 0; \\ -1, & \text{if } a_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $x_i x_j x_k = +1$ when $a_i a_j a_k > 0$, and $x_i x_j x_k = -1$ when $a_i a_j a_k < 0$. Notice that $\sum_i x_i^3 = \sum_i x_i = 1 \cdot p + (-1) \cdot (n - p) = 2p - n$, and $\sum_i x_i^2 = n$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (2p - n)^3 &= (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^3 = \sum_{i,j,k \text{ distinct}} x_i x_j x_k + 3 \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} x_i^2 x_j + \sum_i x_i^3 \\ &= 3! \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k + 3 \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} x_i^2 x_j + \sum_i x_i = 6 \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k + 3 \sum_j \sum_{i \neq j} x_j + \sum_i x_i \\ &= 6 \sum_{i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k + 3 \left(\sum_j x_j \right) \left(\sum_{i \neq j} 1 \right) + \sum_i x_i \\ &= 6 \cdot 0 + 3(2p - n)(n - 1) + (2p - n) = (2p - n)(3n - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we had $\sum_i x_i x_j x_k = 0$ as a consequence of the assumption that exactly half of the possible products $a_i a_j a_k$ with $i < j < k$ are positive; the rest must be negative, as $x_i \neq 0$, and so the sum must be 0.

We now solve this equality for integral values of n and p . If $n = 2p$, then exactly half of the products $x_i x_j x_k$ are positive, and so exactly half of the products $a_i a_j a_k$ are positive (for $i < j < k$). Otherwise, $(2p - n)^2 = 3n - 2$, or $n^2 - (4p + 3)n + (4p^2 + 2) = 0$. Applying the quadratic formula, we obtain

$$n = \frac{4p + 3 \pm \sqrt{(4p + 3)^2 - 4(4p^2 + 2)}}{2} = 2p + \frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{24p + 1}.$$

For n to be rational, we need $24p + 1$ to be a perfect square; for n to be an integer, we also require that $\sqrt{24p + 1}$ to be odd, and hence $24p + 1$ is an odd square. Thus we can write $24p + 1 = (2k + 1)^2$, for some integer $k \geq 0$. Solving for p , we get $p = \frac{1}{6} k(k + 1)$, which is an integer iff $k \equiv 0$ or $2 \pmod{3}$. Substituting for p , we get

$$n = \frac{1}{3} k(k + 1) + \frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} (2k + 1),$$

and each of these is a valid solution to the original problem, for any positive k ; that is,

$$n \geq \frac{1}{3} k(k + 1) + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (2k + 1) \geq \frac{1}{6} k(k + 1) = p,$$

for all integers k .¹ This exhausts all possibilities for (n, p) . The characterization is thus: $n = 2p$; $0 \leq p \leq n < 3$,² or $(n, p) = \left(\frac{1}{3} k(k + 1) + \frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} (2k + 1), \frac{1}{6} k(k + 1) \right)$, for some integer $k \geq 0$ with $k \equiv 0$ or $2 \pmod{3}$.³

¹ In fact, the middle inequality is true for all real k except those strictly between 2 and 3.

² Vacuously true.

³ If $k = 0$, the result is vacuously true.