

**11426.** Proposed by M. L. Glasser, Clarkson University, Potsdam, NY. Find

$$\frac{\Gamma(1/14)\Gamma(9/14)\Gamma(11/14)}{\Gamma(3/14)\Gamma(5/14)\Gamma(13/14)}$$

where  $\Gamma$  denotes the usual gamma function, given by  $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$ .

*Solution by Christopher Carl Heckman, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ:* Using three identities that  $\Gamma$  satisfies, as well as a trigonometric identity, it can be shown that this expression equals 2. Three well-known identities involving the Gamma function are the reflection formula

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)} \equiv c_z,$$

the duplication formula

$$\Gamma(2z) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} 2^{2z-1/2} \Gamma(z)\Gamma\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) \equiv a_z \Gamma(z)\Gamma\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

and the reduction formula

$$\Gamma(1+z) = z \cdot \Gamma(z),$$

where the notations  $c_z$  and  $a_z$  have been introduced for legibility's sake.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(1/14)\Gamma(9/14)\Gamma(11/14)}{\Gamma(3/14)\Gamma(5/14)\Gamma(13/14)} &= \frac{[\Gamma(1/14)\Gamma(9/14)\Gamma(11/14)]^2}{c_{3/14} \cdot c_{5/14} \cdot c_{13/14}} \\ &= \frac{1}{c_{3/14} \cdot c_{5/14} \cdot c_{13/14}} \cdot \left[ \frac{\Gamma(1/7)}{a_{1/14} \cdot \Gamma(4/7)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(9/7)}{a_{9/14} \cdot \Gamma(8/7)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(11/7)}{a_{11/14} \cdot \Gamma(9/7)} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{(a_{1/14} \cdot a_{9/14} \cdot a_{11/14})^{-2}}{c_{3/14} \cdot c_{5/14} \cdot c_{13/14}} \cdot \left[ \frac{\Gamma(1/7)}{\Gamma(4/7)} \cdot \frac{2/7 \cdot \Gamma(2/7)}{1/7 \cdot \Gamma(1/7)} \cdot \frac{4/7 \cdot \Gamma(4/7)}{2/7 \cdot \Gamma(2/7)} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{16 \cdot (a_{1/14} \cdot a_{9/14} \cdot a_{11/14})^{-2}}{2c_{3/14} \cdot c_{5/14} \cdot c_{13/14}} = 16 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{14}\right) \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{14}\right) \sin\left(\frac{5\pi}{14}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The exact value of this expression can be found using a product-of-sines formula:

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{n}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2^{n-1}}},$$

substituting the values of  $n = 2$ ,  $n = 7$ , and  $n = 14$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{2^1}} = 1 \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{7}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{7}\right) \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{7}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{7}{2^6}} = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{8} \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{14}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{14}\right) \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{14}\right) \cdots \sin\left(\frac{7\pi}{14}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{14}{2^{14}}} = \frac{\sqrt{14}}{2^7} \end{aligned}$$

which imply that  $\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{14}\right) \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{14}\right) \sin\left(\frac{5\pi}{14}\right) = \frac{1}{8}$ , and the claim holds.  $\implies$

Now for a more interesting question: generalizing the problem. The original expression given can be written in the form

$$P(N, g) = \prod_{i=0}^{\text{ord}(g)-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{g^i}{N} \bmod 1\right)^{(-1)^i}$$

(with  $g = 3$  and  $N = 14$ , and  $\text{ord}(g)$  the smallest positive integer  $r$  such that  $g^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ ), and it also can be shown to be equal to a formula which does not involve the Gamma function at all.

The fact that  $g$  is a generator of the group  $U_N$  (the set of all positive integers less than  $N$  relatively prime to  $N$ ) is necessary for full cancellation; hence  $\text{ord}(g) = \phi(N)$ , the Euler totient function.

Note also that the question of whether the expression  $P(N, g)$  can be made into a Gamma-free expression does not change if we drop the “mod 1” part of the formula, although its value will. Also, different generators can result in different values of  $P(N, g)$ .

Now we turn to new results (as these types of expressions do not seem to be in the literature). We start with a well-known fact:

**Theorem.** *The group  $U_N$  is cyclic (it has a generator) if  $N = 2, 4$ , or of the form  $p^k$  or  $2p^k$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime and  $k$  is a positive integer.*

Also,  $\phi(N)$  is even if  $N \geq 3$ . By symmetry arguments it follows that  $g^{\phi(N)/2} = -1$ . Finally, if  $g$  is a generator of  $U_N$ , we define  $\log_g m$  to be the unique integer  $\lambda$  between 0 and  $\phi(N) - 1$  such that  $g^\lambda = m$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $N = p^k$  or  $2p^k$ , where  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then*

$$P(N, g) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k < N/2 \\ \gcd(k, N) = 1}} \left[ \sin\left(\frac{k\pi}{N}\right) \right]^{(-1)^{1+\log_g k}}.$$

Proof:  $\log_g(-1) = \phi(N)/2$ , which is an even integer by assumption. Thus the factors  $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$  and  $\Gamma\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)$  appear in the numerator of  $P(N, g)$ . Also, if  $k$  is an integer (in the appropriate range),  $\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)$  and  $\Gamma\left(\frac{N-k}{N}\right)$  will appear either both in the numerator or both in the denominator, since

$$\log_g(N - k) \equiv \log_g(-1) + \log_g k \pmod{\phi(N)} \equiv \log_g k \pmod{2}.$$

Thus, the inversion formula can be used to simplify  $P(N, g)$ , resulting in the expression above. □

The cancellation that shows up in the original problem shows up in the following lemma, which will allow us to evaluate other expressions  $P(N, g)$ . Also, we define

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x < 1 \\ x - 1, & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $m_i = \frac{g^i}{N} \bmod 1$ , the fractional part of  $\frac{g^i}{N}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $p$  is an odd prime,  $k$  is a positive integer, and  $(g^2)^j \equiv 2 + p^k \pmod{2p^k}$  for some positive integer  $j$ . Then*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{\phi(2p^k)/2-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{g^{2i}}{2p^k} \bmod 1\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{\phi(2p^k)/2-1} \frac{1}{a_{g^{2i}/2p^k \bmod 1}} \cdot \frac{h(2 \cdot (g^{2i}/2p^k \bmod 1))}{h((g^{2i}/2p^k \bmod 1) + \frac{1}{2})},$$

where  $a_x$  is defined as in the duplication formula.

Proof: The duplication formula implies that

$$\Gamma(m_{2i}) = \frac{1}{a_{m_{2i}}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(2m_{2i})}{\Gamma(m_{2i} + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

Then the reduction identity is applied if  $m_{2i} > \frac{1}{2}$ ; this implies that

$$\Gamma(m_{2i}) = \frac{h(2m_{2i})}{a_{m_{2i}} \cdot h(m_{2i} + \frac{1}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{g^{2i}}{p^k} \bmod 1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\left(\frac{g^{2i}}{2p^k} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \bmod 1\right)}.$$

Finally, the existence of a  $j$  such that  $(g^2)^j \equiv 2 + p^k \pmod{2p^k}$  implies that the numerator of the rewritten form of  $\Gamma(m_{2i})$  will cancel with the denominator of  $\Gamma(m_{2(i+j)})$ , where  $i+j$  is calculated modulo  $\phi(2p^k)/2$ : If  $(g^2)^j \equiv 2 + p^k \pmod{2p^k}$ , then  $2 \equiv g^{2j} + p^k \pmod{2p^k}$ , and multiplying both sides of this equation by  $g^{2i}$  yields

$$2g^{2i} \equiv g^{2i} \cdot g^{2j} + g^{2i} \cdot p^k \pmod{2p^k} \equiv g^{2(i+j)} + p^k \pmod{2p^k},$$

since  $g$  (and hence  $g^{2i}$ ) must be odd. Then, dividing both sides of this equation by  $2p^k$ ,

$$2m_{2i} \equiv \frac{g^{2i}}{p^k} \equiv \frac{g^{2(i+j)}}{2p^k} + \frac{1}{2} \equiv m_{2i} + \frac{1}{2} \pmod{1},$$

as claimed. □

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $p$  is an odd prime such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $k$  is a positive integer, and  $(g^2)^j \equiv 2 + p^k \pmod{2p^k}$  for some positive integer  $j$ . Then

$$P(2p^k, g) = \prod_{i=0}^{\phi(2p^k)/2-1} [c_{g^{2i}/2p^k \bmod 1}]^{-1} \cdot \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{\phi(2p^k)/2-1} \frac{h(2m_{2i})}{a_{m_{2i}} \cdot h(m_{2i} + \frac{1}{2})} \right]^2,$$

where  $a_x$  is defined as in the duplication formula, and  $c_x$  is defined as in the inversion formula.

Proof: Use the inversion formula and Lemma 2, as was done for the original problem. □

**Corollary 4.** Under the same assumptions as Theorem 3,

$$P(2p^k, g) = \prod_{i=0}^{\phi(2p^k)/2-1} \sin(m_{2i}\pi) \cdot 16^{-m_{2i}} \cdot h^*(m_{2i}),$$

where  $h^*(x) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } x < \frac{1}{2} \\ 16, & \text{if } x > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$  and  $m_i = \frac{g^i}{2p^k} \bmod 1$ .

Proof: Substitution and cleanup. (More simplification can probably be done, but I ran out of time before I could simplify  $\sum m_{2i}$  or count how many  $m_{2i}$ 's are less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ .) □

Note that this is a true generalization of the original result, since  $7 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $(g^2)^1 \equiv (3^2)^1 \equiv 2 + 7^1 \equiv 2 + p^k \pmod{2p^k}$ .

“Where have all the Gammas gone?”